

C A No. Applied for
Complaint No. 157/2022

In the matter of:

Ankit ChaudharyComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman
2. Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)
3. Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)
4. Mr. Nishat Ahmed Alvi, Member (CRM)

Appearance:

1. None present on behalf of the complainant
2. Mr. Imran Siddiqi, Ms. Shweta Chaudhary, Ms. Divya Sharma & Mr. Shubham Singh, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 17th November, 2022

Date of Order: 18th November, 2022

Order Pronounced By:- Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman

1. This complaint has been filed by Sh. Ankit Chaudhary, against BYPL-YVR.
2. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that complainant Sh. Ankit Chaudhary is residing at 198, Ghonda Chowk, Delhi-110053 and applied for new electricity connection vide application


Complaint No. 154/2022

no. 8005541160 but respondent company rejected his application for new connection on pretext of proof of ownership required, ownership and billing address mismatch and same site energy dues.

3. The respondent in reply briefly stated that the applicant applied for new electricity connection vide application no. 8005541160 in name of Ankit Chaudhary at H.No. -198, GF, Main Ghonda Chowk, Nearby SBI Bank, Delhi-110053 on 10.03.2022.

OP further submitted that as per site visit report building structure is GF+TF. Entry of house no. 197 & 198 is same and roof is also same. So 197 & 198 is joint property and owner of both properties are brothers in relation. Also energy dues are outstanding against the premises sought to be electrified against meter no. 17088848 Rs. 59330/- and meter no. 55226724 Rs. 147150/-. OP also submitted that complainant applied for new connection on behalf of MLA letter and ID proof, whereas they required proof of ownership for release of new connection. Respondent also quoted Regulation 10 & 11 of DERC (Supply Code) 2017 and citations of various courts.

4. Heard both the parties and perused the record.
5. During the course of hearing, complainant telephonically stated that he wishes to withdraw his complaint from the Forum. Withdrawal allowed.
6. Case is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly. Proceedings closed.


NISHAT A. ALVI (P.K. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (CRM) MEMBER (LEGAL)


(S.R. KHAN)
MEMBER (TECH.)


(P.K. SINGH)
CHAIRMAN